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#### Abstract

Seventeen compounds (Nic 1-17) have been isolated from aqueous extracts of the leaves of Nicandra physaloides which inhibit the feeding of certain insect larvae. $X$-Ray analysis of its acetate (direct methods) showed Nic-3 to be ( $20 S, 22 R, 24 S, 25 S, 26 R$ )-6 $, 7 \alpha: 22,26: 24,25$-triepoxy-5,26-dihydroxy- $5 \alpha$-ergost- 2 -en- 1 -one (3). Similar examination of Nic-11 as its ethyl ether showed it to be the $6 \alpha, 7 \alpha: 17,24: 22,26$-triepoxy- $5,25,26$-trihydroxy- $5 \alpha-$ $17 \alpha$-ergost-2-en-1-one (6). Spectroscopic correlation of Nic-7 with Nic-3 shows the former to be the corresponding 1,12-dione (4). Snatzke's chiroptical correlation for cyclohexenones supports the assigned absolute configuration. Biogenetic relationships between these compounds, withanolides, physalins, and the aromatic ring D steroids of the following paper are outlined.


The tobacco hornworm (Protoparce sexta) feeds preferentially on plants of the Solanaceae family, although it avoids the leaves of Nicandra physaloides (Solanaceae), ${ }^{1}$ a reputed fly-repellant of Peruvian origin. Aqueous extracts of the leaves of this plant have been shown to inhibit feeding of larvae of various insect species. Two crystalline substances were isolated from such extracts by Fraenkel and co-workers, ${ }^{2}$ the major component (' nicandrenone,' $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, m.p. $102-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) having a bitter taste and insecticidal properties, but the structures of these compounds were not elucidated.

In the present work, the annual $N$. physaloides was grown from seed during several years, and the constituents of the aqueous extract of leaf were examined. It was found that the composition of the natural product mixture varied with each succeeding crop and it seems likely that there was genotypic variation in the commercial seed used. On the other hand, there may be relationships with climate, time of harvesting, and habitat, and these factors have not been unravelled.

(1)

(2)

In all, 17 distinct products were characterised, and for convenience were labelled Nic-1 to Nic-17. One compound (Nic-15) was identified as loliolide (1), ${ }^{3}$ and six

[^0]were non-crystalline, probably saturated and acyclic substances (mass-spectrometry) which were not further investigated. Structures, based on crystallographic and spectroscopic investigation, have been defined for seven of the remaining compounds. This paper deals


Figure 1 Nic-3 acetate (2): a general view projected down the $b$ axis showing the crystallographic numbering system
with the constitution of one structurally related group (Nic-3, -7, and -11), while the nature of a second family (Nic-1, -10, -12, and -17) is examined in the following paper.
Since the quantities of the compounds available were limited (mean total content $0.0005 \%$ based on dry leaf), crystallographic analysis appeared most likely to be fruitful. Attempts to prepare heavy-atom derivatives were frustrated by the (subsequently revealed) complex functionalities of the molecules, and direct methods were employed. Adequately large and well formed crystals were first obtained from the acetate of Nic-3, $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, m.p. $262{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The structure was solved from diffractometer data (1 630 reflections) by direct methods and refined by least-squares and difference-Fourier methods to $R$

[^1]0.073 . A general view (Figure 1) of the resulting structure (2) for Nic-3 acetate, projected down the $b$ axis, shows Nic-3 itself (3) to be an oxidised steroid


Figure 2 Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) in (2); mean $\sigma 0.01 \AA$


Figure 3 Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) in (2); mean $\sigma 1.0^{\circ}$. Additional angles: $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6) 110, \mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10) 108, \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-$ $\mathrm{C}(9) \quad 113, \mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(19) \quad 104, \mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17) \quad 116$, $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(18) 114, \mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(24) 113, \mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-$ $\mathrm{C}(28) 123, \mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(27) 124$, and $\mathrm{O}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26) 118^{\circ}$


Figure 4 Arrangement of molecules of (2) in the unit cell
having a 24-methylcholestane skeleton. Bond lengths and angles are shown in Figures 2 and 3, and the arrange-
ment of molecules in the unit cell in Figure 4. Ring a of the all-trans-fused ABCD system assumes a half-chair conformation with $C(5)$ below, and $C(10)$ above, the mean plane of the ring. Similarly ring B is a half chair with $\mathrm{C}(9)$ below, and $\mathrm{C}(10)$ above, the ring plane. Ring $C$ is an undistorted chair, while the five-membered ring $D$ is in the envelope conformation with $C(13)$ above the plane of the remaining atoms. In the cyclic hemiacetal ring E , atoms $\mathrm{C}(23)-(26)$ are constrained to be


In (3), (4), and (6), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$. n.m.r. data were measured at 100 MHz in $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ ]pyridine solvent. Data for $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right.$ ] dimethyl sulphoxide solutions are given in the Experimental section.
approximately planar by the attached epoxide ring: $\mathrm{O}(22)$ lies approximately in this plane, leaving $\mathrm{C}(22)$ below the mean plane of the remaining five atoms of the ring. The hydroxy [ $\mathrm{O}(5)$ ] did not form hydrogen bonds.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ N.m.r. data are correlated with the crystallographically derived structure in (3), which shows the

systematic numbering. The cyclohexenone chromophore in Nic-3 is characterised by $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{EtOH}) 225$ ( 6950 ) nm, $\nu_{\text {max. }}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Comparison of these data with those for Nic-7 permit assignment of structure to this second methyl steroid. Nic-7, $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, has the same enone-chromophore as Nic-3, $\lambda_{\text {max. }}$ (EtOH) $224(7200) \mathrm{nm}, \nu_{\text {max. }}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1688 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, but a second cyclohexanone carbonyl is indicated by $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr})$ $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ : this accounts for the additional oxygen and additional unit of unsaturation shown by Nic-7. Location of the second carbonyl at C-12 as in (4) follows from the n.m.r. data shown. Notably, the $13-\mathrm{Me}$
( $\tau 9.03, \mathrm{~s})$ and $11-\mathrm{H}_{\text {eq }}\left(6.15 \mathrm{bd}, J_{\text {gem }} 11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ resonate at significantly lower fields than their counterparts in (3) $\left\{13-\mathrm{Me} \quad(9.40 \mathrm{~s}), \quad 11-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}} \quad(7.04 \mathrm{bd})\right\}$. Nic-7 could be oxidised with acetyl hypobromite or $N$-bromoacetamide to the $\delta$-lactone (5), (an epoxywithanolide), $\nu_{\max }$ $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


A third methyl steroid was discovered in Nic-11, $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, m.p. $277{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{EtOH}) 224(6000) \mathrm{nm}$ (enone). Repeated crystallisation from ethanol gave crystals which eventually proved to be the $26-O$-ethyl derivative (7) of the original natural product. The structure was solved by direct methods as for Nic-3 and refined to $R 0.059$ ( 2140 reflections). A general view of the resulting structure is shown in Figure 5. The parent Nic-11 is thus the oxidised hexacyclic methylsteroid (6), carrying a dioxaspirobicyclic system at C-17, with a $17-\alpha$ carbon chain. Proton n.m.r. correlations are also displayed on (6). For crystallographic purposes only, the numbering of Figure 5 was

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. data refer to (6) ; Me signals: 8.46s (3 H), 8.63s (3 $\mathrm{H}), 8.79 \mathrm{~s}(3 \mathrm{H})$, and $8.98 \mathrm{~s}(6 \mathrm{H})$.
employed; bond lengths and angles are given in Figures 6 and 7, and the arrangement of molecules in the unit cell in Figure 8. The conformation of the all-trans$A B C D$ system is very similar to that in Nic-3, but the orientation of the C-17 substituents was such that $\mathrm{C}(20)$ was axial ( $\alpha$ ) and $\mathrm{O}(17)$ equatorial whereas in Nic-3 it is $C(20)$ which is equatorial ( $\beta$ ). In the dioxaspirobicyclic system, ring E was found to show a slightly distorted boat conformation with $C(17)$ and $C(23)$ below the mean plane of the ring. Substituents $C(16)$ and $C(28)$ were equatorial to the ring while $\mathrm{C}(13), \mathrm{C}(21), \mathrm{O}(22)$, and
$\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{2 5 )}$ were axial. Ring F adopts the chair conformation with substituents $\mathrm{O}(26), \mathrm{C}(27)$, and $\mathrm{C}(28)$ equatorial and


Figure 5 Nic-11 ethyl ether (7): a general view of the structure showing the crystallographic numbering system
$\mathrm{O}(17), \mathrm{C}(20)$, and $\mathrm{O}(25)$ axial. Weak intermolecular hydrogen bonds were discovered in the crystal structure: these were $\mathrm{OH}(25) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(6^{\prime}\right) 3.12, \mathrm{OH}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(22^{\prime}\right)$


Figure 6 Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) in (7); mean $\sigma<0.01 \AA$


Figure 7 Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ); mean $\sigma<0.7^{\circ}$. Additional angles:
$\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6) 110, \mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10) 109, \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9) 116$,
$\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ l11, $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$, $121, \mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-$ $\mathrm{C}(18) \quad 112, \quad \mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(20) \quad 113, \mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{O}(17) 105$, $\mathrm{O}(17)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25) \quad 103, \mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(28) 111, \mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-$
$\mathrm{C}(27) 112$, and $\mathrm{O}(25)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26) 110^{\circ}$
$3.12, \mathrm{OH}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(26^{\prime}\right) 3.15 \AA$, with the hydrogen in the latter case midway between the two possible oxygens $\mathrm{O}\left(22^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{O}\left(26^{\prime}\right)$.

The $(5 R, 10 R)$ absolute configuration shown for Nic-3, -7 , and -11 is that expected on biogenetic grounds. Confirmation is provided by o.r.d. data, as all three


Figure 8 Arrangement of molecules of (7) in the unit cell
compounds display strong negative Cotton effects centred around 330 nm (the enone $R$-band, $n \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ ). Snatzke ${ }^{4}$ has co-ordinated data for chiral cyclohexenones and as a result the quadrant rule shown in Figure 9 has been suggested. Reference to the $X$-ray results for


Figure 9 Quadrant rule for enones ( $R$ band)
Nic-3 acetate and Nic-11 ethyl ether indicate the conformation shown in Figure 10 for ring a of the compound in the $(5 R, 10 R)$-configuration. Torsion angles $\mathrm{O}(1)$ -$\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ are 165 and $164^{\circ}$ for Nic-3 acetate and Nic-11 ethyl ether, and such stereochemistry would give rise to a negative Cotton effect when Snatzke's rule is applied. The closest model compounds we know are the ring B - and ring c -enones ${ }^{5}$ (8) and (9), which show positive and negative $R$-band Cotton effects respectively, in concord with the present case. The total stereo-

[^2]chemistry of the fourteen chiral centres of Nic-3 are thus completely defined as $(5 R, 6 S, 7 S, 8 S, 9 S, 10 R, 13 R, 14 S$, $17 R, 20 S, 22 R, 24 S, 25 S, 26 R)$.

Likely biosynthetic relationships between these three methylsteroids of $N$. physaloides are shown in the Scheme. Possible progenitors of the group include

(a)


Figure 10 Ring a conformation for Nic-3 and - 11 derivatives: (a) general view, (b) quadrant projection $\mathrm{O}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{C}-1$, (c) deviations from the mean plane of ring a carbons (Nic-3 acetate)
campesterol, 24-methylidenecholesterol (both found in tissue cultures of the related Withania somnifera ${ }^{6}$ ), a dihydroergosterol, or 24,25 -didehydro- 24 -methylcholesterol (13) (also a constituent of $W$. somnifera ${ }^{7}$ ). An oxidation sequence leading ultimately to Nic-3 is envisaged. Further oxidation at $\mathrm{C}-12$ would form Nic-7, whilst alternative $17 \beta$-hydroxylation could give Nic-2, a compound reported from N. physaloides by Bates and Morehead ${ }^{8}$ soon after the appearance of our preliminary publications. ${ }^{9}$ Nic-ll can be formed from


Nic-2 by intramolecular opening of the 24,25 -epoxide by the $17 \beta$-hydroxy-group, as shown. The stereochemistry of Nic-11 accords with a trans-diaxial ring opening.

[^3]The closest relatives to these Nicandra extractives are the lactonic withanolides characteristic of, inter alia, the Acnistus, Datura, Dunalia, Jaborosa, and Withania genera of the Solanaceae. ${ }^{10}$ Withaferin A (10) ${ }^{10}$ is a well-known example and withanicandrin (11) ${ }^{11}$ has been found in $N$. physaloides. 17-Hydroxylation is featured in various withanolides both with $\alpha$-orientation ${ }^{8,12}$ and also with the rarer $\beta$-disposition: ${ }^{13} 17 \beta$-hydroxylation is otherwise known in relatively few pregnane relatives. ${ }^{14}$

The physalins ${ }^{15}$ represent more oxidatively elaborated relatives of these Nicandra compounds, and hypothetical


(11)
connection ${ }^{15}$ between physalin A (12a), found in Physalis alkengi var. Franchetti (Solanaceae), and a highly oxidised withanolide such as (12b) is indicated. Our Nicandra compounds and those having an aromatic ring D reported in the following paper, together with the withanolides and the physalins, form a biogenetic group within the Solanaceae, the apparent outcome of characteristic oxidation processes operating on a fundamental ergostane-like framework.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Extraction of Nicandra physaloides Leaves.-Seeds were supplied by Thompson and Morgan, Ipswich, and Sharpes,

[^4]Sleaford, and plants were raised as half-hardy annuals. After harvesting whole plants, the leaves were removed as quickly as possible and oven-dried over 10 days, initially at $30-40$ and finally at $50-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Powdered dry leaf was suspended with stirring in water ( $1 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ per 100 g leaf) and heated under reflux overnight. The extract was decanted and the residue again treated with boiling water ( $500 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ per 100 g leaf) and set aside overnight before filtration. The combined dark brown aqueous extracts were extracted with chloroform ( $1 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ per $2 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ extract); extraction was made difficult by the formation of emulsions which resolved slowly when set aside. The chloroform extracts were

(12a)

(12b)
concentrated, dried, and evaporated to yield a light brown glass. Separation of the terpenoids was achieved by preparative t.l.c. $(40 \times 40 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~cm}$ layers of Kieselgel $\mathrm{HF}_{254}$ ); ca. 50 mg of crude terpenoids were separated on each plate by use of multiple development (3-5 runs) with chloroform-methanol eluant. Results in various years are as follows: (a) 1967: leaves ( 1 kg ) afforded Nic-1 * ( 37 mg ); (b) 1968: leaves ( 46 kg ) afforded 2.2 g extractives; p.l.c. with chloroform-methanol (199:1) gave $\mathrm{Nic}-2 \mathrm{~A} \dagger(28 \mathrm{mg})$, Nic-3 (562 mg), Nic-4 (23 mg), Nic-5 ( 6 mg ), Nic-6 ( 61 mg ), Nic-7 ( 1450 mg ), and Nic-8 (14 mg); (c) 1969: similar pattern to 1968 [crops $(a)$, (b), and (c) were grown at Leckwith, Glamorgan]; (d) 1970: leaves ( 9 kg ) gave 566 mg extractives Nic-10 ( 55 mg ), Nic-11 (127 mg), Nic-12 (100 mg), and Nic-13 (283 mg); (e) 1971:
${ }^{11}$ K. Kirson, D. Lavie, S. Sankara Subramanian, P. D. Sethi, and E. Glotter, J.C.S. Perkin I, 1972, 2109.

12 K. L. Dhar and M. L. Rama, Phytochemistry, 1973, 12, 476.
13 D. Lavie, I. Kirson, E. Glotter, D. Rabinovich, and Z. Shakked, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 877.

14 K. A. Jaeggi, E. Weiss, and T. Reichstein, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1963, 46, 694; C. W. Shoppee and R. E. Lack, J. Chem. Soc., 1964, 3611; H. Mitsuhashi, T. Sato, T. Nomura, and I. Takemori, Chem. and Pharm. Bull. (Japan), 1964, 12, 981; M. Fukuoka and H. Mitsuhashi, ibid., 1968, 16, 553.
${ }_{15}$ T. Matsuura, M. Kawai, R. Nakashima, and Y. Butsugan, $J$. Chem. Soc. (B), 1970, 664; M. Kawai, T. Matsuura, T. Taga, and K. Osaki, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1970, 812; M. Kawai and T. Matsuura, Tetrahedron, 1970, 26, 1743.
leaves ( 75 kg ) gave 3 g extractives. Elution with chloro-form-methanol ( $96: 4$ ) gave Nic-1 ( 1000 mg ), Nic-9 ( 306 mg ), Nic-10 ( 139 mg ), Nic-14 ( 54 mg ), Nic-15 ( 30 mg ), Nic-16 ( 32 mg ), and Nic-17 ( 539 mg ) [crops (d) and (e)
$\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $M$, 472.2825), $\nu_{\text {max. }}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3448(\mathrm{OH})$, $1693(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1466,1395,1295,1091,1038,905$, and $786 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \tau^{*}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SO}^{\cdot} \cdot \mathrm{CD}_{3}\right) 3.39(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 104.8,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 26-\mathrm{OH}), 4.33$


Nic-7



Scheme Possible biogenetic relationships among extractives of Solanaceae
cultivated at Sutton Bonington, Nottingham]. $R_{\text {F }}$ values $\left(\times 10^{2}\right)$ are listed in Table 1.

Table 1
$R_{F}\left(\times 10^{2}\right){ }^{a}$ for Nicandra extractives

|  | Solvent |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | $\overbrace{(1)}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | (2) | (3) | $(4){ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Nic-1 | 80 | 70 |  |  |
| 2A | 70 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 55 |  | 55 |  |
| 4 | 50 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 40 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 35 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 30 |  | 35 |  |
| 8 | 87 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | 90 |  |  |
| 10 |  | 90 | 55 |  |
| 11 |  |  | 15 | 70 |
| 12 |  |  | 65 |  |
| 13 |  |  | 5 | 40 |
| 14 |  | 85 |  |  |
| 15 |  | 60 |  |  |
| 16 |  | 95 |  |  |
| 17 |  | 70 |  |  |

Solvent: chloroform-methanol in ratios (1) $199: 1$, (2) $96: 4$, (3) $25: 1$, and (4) $92: 8$. Kieselgel G. ${ }^{b} 4$ Runs. ${ }^{\text {c }} 3$ Runs.

Nic-3 [(20S,22R,24S,25S,26R)-6 $, 7 \alpha: 22,26: 24,25-t r i e p o x y-~$ 5,26-dihydroxy-5 $\alpha$-evgost-2-en-1-one] (3) crystallised from benzene-chloroform, m.p. $248{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $M, 472.2818$.
$(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 5.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 26-\mathrm{H}), 6.18$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 22-\mathrm{H}$ ), $6.34\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bd}, J_{\mathrm{gem}} 11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11-\mathrm{H}_{\text {eq }}\right), 6.86$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J_{6,7} 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 8.68$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 19-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 8.73,8.93$ (both $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 27-\mathrm{H}_{3}$, and $28-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), $9.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 21-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, and $9.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 18-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$; $[M]_{281}^{22} \mathrm{~nm}+6940^{\circ}$ (min.), $[M]_{305 \mathrm{~nm}}^{22}+9480^{\circ}$ (max.), $[M]_{364}^{22} \mathrm{~nm}-3780^{\circ}$ (min.) (dioxan).

Nic-3 26-acetate (2) was obtained by treatment of Nic-3 with pyridine-acetic anhydride (2:1) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h ; m.p. $262{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $M, 514.292 . \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires $M$, 514.293 ) ; $\nu_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1744$ (Ac) and $1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.

Nic-7 [(20S,22R,24S,25S,26R)-6 $, 7 \alpha: 22,26: 24,25-t r i e p o x y-~$ 5,26-dihydroxy-5 5 -ergost-2-ene-1,12-dione] (4) had m.p. $263{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from benzene-chloroform (Found: C, 69.55; H, $8.0 \% ; M, 486.264 ; M-18,468.250 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires C, 69.1; H, 7.9\%; $M, 486.262 ; ~ M-18,468.252)$; $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3533(\mathrm{OH}), 3444(\mathrm{OH})$, $1700(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 1688 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $1464,1396,1297,1262,1091,1037$, and 906 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; $\tau^{*}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SO}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{CD}_{3}\right) 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 10$, $5,3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 26-\mathrm{OH}), 4.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 5.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 26-\mathrm{H}), 5.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $5-\mathrm{OH}), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bd}, J 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 8.68\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 19-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, $8.96\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 18-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 8.84$ and 8.73 (both $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 28-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ and $\left.27-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, and $9.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 21-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;[M]_{274}^{22} \mathrm{~nm}+4450^{\circ}(\mathrm{min}$.$) ,$ $[M]_{306 \mathrm{~nm}}^{22}+6940^{\circ}$ (max.), $[M]_{364 \mathrm{~nm}}^{22}-1470^{\circ}$ (min.).

Nic-7 26-acetate, prepared by keeping a solution of Nic-7 ( 23 mg ) in pyridine ( $0.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and acetic anhydride ( $0.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) at $0^{\circ}$ for 36 h (yield 15 mg ), crystallised from methanol,

[^5]m.p. $274-275{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 68.0; H, 7.7\%; M, 528.274. $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 68.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.65 \% ; M, 528.273$ ); $\lambda_{\text {max. }}(\mathrm{EtOH}) 224 \mathrm{~nm}(5860)$; $\nu_{\text {max. }}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3540(\mathrm{OH})$, 1735 ( Ac ), $1700(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, and $1695(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; $\tau$ $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SO} \cdot \mathrm{CD}_{3}\right) 3.41(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 10,5,2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, 26-\mathrm{H}), 4.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 5.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$, $6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 22-\mathrm{H}), 6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $6-\mathrm{H}), 7.97\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 8.66,8.76$, and 8.87 (all $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 19-\mathrm{H}_{3}, 27-\mathrm{H}_{3}$, and $\left.28-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 9.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 18-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, and $9.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 21-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$.

Oxidation of Nic-7.-Bromine ( $0.25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) in carbon tetrachloride $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added, with stirring, to silver acetate ( 1 g ) in carbon tetrachloride ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) at $0^{\circ}$. After 90 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (stirring maintained) the yellow solution (ca. 0.1 m ) of acetyl hypobromite was decanted. This solution ( $0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ}$ to Nic-7 ( 22 mg ) in chloroform ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and the mixture kept overnight. The product, after washing with aqueous sodium sulphite and water, was evaporated. The residue crystallised from methanol to give the lactone (i.e. the 1,12,26-trione) (5), ( 16 mg ), m.p. $307-309{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $M$, 484; $M-15$, 469.225; $M-18,446.237 . \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires $M$, 484; $M-15,469.223 ; \quad M-18,466.236) ; \quad \lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{EtOH})$ $224 \mathrm{~nm}(6880)$, $\nu_{\text {max. }}$ (KBr) 1730 ( $\delta$-lactone), $1698(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, and $1683(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\tau\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SO}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{CD}_{3}\right) 3.39(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 10,5,2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 5.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$, $5.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 22-\mathrm{H}), 6.86(1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, $7.20(1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52,8.57$, and 8.84 (all $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 19-\mathrm{H}_{3}, 27-\mathrm{H}_{3}$, and $\left.28-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 8.95\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 18-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, and $9.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 21- $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ).

Nic-11 ( $6 \alpha, 7 \alpha: 17,24: 22,26$-triepoxy-5,25,26-trihydroxy$5 \alpha, 17 \alpha$-ergost-2-en-1-one) (6).-This was crystallised from ethanol, m.p. $277{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $M$, 488.275; $M-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 442.272. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires $M, 488.277 ; ~ M-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, $442.272)$, $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{EtOH}) 224 \mathrm{~nm}(6210)$, $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3525$ ( OH ), $1683(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1625,1350,1252,1102,1061,1009$, and $902 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $[M]_{271}^{22} \mathrm{~nm}+3000^{\circ}(\mathrm{min}),.[M]_{305}^{22} \mathrm{~nm}+3860^{\circ}$ (max.), $[M]_{360}^{22} \mathrm{~nm}-4250$ (min.).

On repeated crystallisation from ethanol, Nic-11 gave a 26-O-ethyl derivative (Found: $M, 516.309 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires $M, 516.309$ ).

Nic-15 was identified as loliolide ${ }^{3}$ and crystallised from chloroform-light petroleum as plates, m.p. $149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{3}$ m.p. $145-149,152-152.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $M, 196$. Calc. for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}: M, 196\right)$; $\lambda_{\text {max. }}(\mathrm{EtOH}) 214 \mathrm{~nm}(10830)$ [lit., ${ }^{3}$ $\lambda_{\text {max. }} 213 \mathrm{~nm}(11500), 215$ (14800)]; $\nu_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3635$, $3455,1850 \mathrm{w}, 1738$, and $1630 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (lit., ${ }^{3} 3580,3440,1840$, 1741 , and $\left.1632 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$; $\tau\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 4.32(1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 5.68$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 7.51$ and $7.97\left(2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {gem }} 14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.36$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 8.24$ and $8.49\left(2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {gem }} 14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 8.22$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 7 a-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 8.53$ and 8.74 (both $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 5-\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ). These data are closely similar to those reported. ${ }^{3}$

Crystallographic Analysis of Nic-3 Acetate (2).-Oscillation and Weissenberg photographs were taken about the axis to establish unit-cell dimensions and space group. For intensity measurement a crystal of dimensions ca. $0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.4 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was mounted about the $a$ axis on a Hilger and Watts four-circle diffractometer. Unit-cell dimensions were refined by a least-squares fit on the positions of 12 peaks found on the diffractometer. Intensity data were collected with $\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation for $2 \theta \leqslant 154^{\circ}$ by use of an $\omega-2 \theta$ scan. Of 3300 independent reflections measured, 1630 were considered observed, having $I>3 \sigma(I)$ and were used in the structure refinement. No absorption corrections were made. Data reduction

Table 2
Fractional atomic co-ordinates for Nic-3 acetate, with standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1) | $1.1841(14)$ | 0.5085(5) | -0.0753(4) |
| C(2) | 1.2247 (15) | $0.5347(5)$ | -0.1364(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.1165(16)$ | 0.5188(6) | -0.1855(5) |
| C(4) | $0.9291(17)$ | 0.4736 (5) | -0.1828(4) |
| C(5) | 0.9226 (14) | 0.4351 (5) | -0.1218(4) |
| C(6) | 0.7226 (15) | 0.3943 (5) | -0.1171(4) |
| C(7) | 0.6233(14) | 0.3836(4) | -0.0566(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $0.7085(12)$ | 0.4129 (4) | 0.0009(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0.9371(11)$ | 0.4371 (4) | -0.0057(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 0.9595(12) | 0.4797 (5) | -0.0654(4) |
| C(11) | 1.0009(13) | 0.4705(5) | 0.0548(4) |
| C(12) | 0.9751 (12) | 0.4221 (5) | 0.1100(4) |
| C(13) | $0.7528(12)$ | 0.3958 (4) | $0.1167(4)$ |
| C(14) | 0.6990 (13) | 0.3635 (4) | $0.0545(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 0.4948(14) | $0.3261(5)$ | $0.0672(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.5098(15)$ | $0.3036(5)$ | $0.1358(4)$ |
| C(17) | $0.7212(13)$ | $0.3326(5)$ | $0.1597(4)$ |
| C(18) | 0.6169(15) | 0.4556 (4) | 0.1352 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $0.8225(13)$ | 0.5451 (4) | -0.0647(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 0.7197(15) | 0.3441 (5) | 0.2291 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $0.9272(17)$ | 0.3731 (6) | 0.2525 (5) |
| C(22) | 0.6599(16) | 0.2776(5) | 0.2625 (4) |
| C(23) | $0.8065(17)$ | $0.2187(5)$ | $0.2537(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 0.7559(18) | $0.1591(5)$ | 0.2959(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 0.6370 (16) | $0.1728(5)$ | 0.3526(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $0.5914(14)$ | 0.2450 (5) | 0.3681 (4) |
| C(27) | 0.6336(19) | 0.1265 (6) | 0.4079 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 0.9034(22) | 0.0970(6) | 0.2895 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $0.3008(16)$ | $0.2835(6)$ | 0.4200 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 0.0601(16) | 0.2861 (8) | $0.4212(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.3060(9)$ | 0.5120(4) | -0.0334(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 1.0845(10) | 0.3841 (3) | -0.1251(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 0.7396(10) | $0.3298(3)$ | -0.0864(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 0.6556(10) | 0.2956 (3) | 0.3271 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(24)$ | 0.5401 (12) | $0.1442(3)$ | 0.2986(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)$ | 0.3676(10) | 0.2506(4) | $0.3725(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(29)$ | $0.3989(12)$ | 0.3098(4) | 0.4599(4) |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 1.353 | 0.570 | -0.143 |
| H(3) | 1.168 | 0.539 | $-0.230$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.929 | 0.437 | -0.221 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.821 | 0.516 | -0.173 |
| H(6) | 0.679 | 0.384 | -0.166 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 0.606 | 0.455 | 0.010 |
| H(9) | 1.045 | 0.395 | -0.012 |
| H(lla) | 1.159 | 0.487 | 0.052 |
| H (11b) | 0.911 | 0.517 | 0.062 |
| H (12a) | 1.076 | 0.378 | 0.105 |
| $\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.017 | 0.448 | 0.152 |
| H(14) | 0.814 | 0.327 | 0.040 |
| $\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.475 | 0.283 | 0.036 |
| $\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.366 | 0.360 | 0.059 |
| $\mathrm{H}(16 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.501 | 0.274 | 0.141 |
| H (16b) | 0.384 | 0.324 | 0.164 |
| $\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 0.851 | 0.297 | 0.157 |
| H (18a) | 0.471 | 0.439 | 0.142 |
| H (18b) | 0.654 | 0.477 | 0.178 |
| $\mathrm{H}(18 \mathrm{c})$ | 0.642 | 0.499 | 0.108 |
| H (19a) | 0.837 | 0.576 | -0.105 |
| $\mathrm{H}(19 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.671 | 0.527 | $-0.057$ |
| H (190) | 0.846 | 0.576 | -0.026 |
| H(20) | 0.609 | 0.384 | 0.241 |
| H (21a) | 0.975 | 0.420 | 0.230 |
| H (21b) | 0.929 | 0.384 | 0.299 |
| $\mathrm{H}(21 \mathrm{c}$ ) | 1.042 | 0.334 | 0.241 |
| $\mathrm{H}(22)$ | 0.487 | 0.270 | 0.257 |
| $\mathrm{H}(23 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.946 | 0.230 | 0.277 |
| H (23b) | 0.779 | 0.211 | 0.206 |
| $\mathrm{H}(26)$ | 0.671 | 0.267 | 0.409 |
| H (27a) | 0.633 | 0.074 | 0.397 |
| H (27b) | 0.787 | 0.139 | 0.431 |
| $\mathrm{H}(27 \mathrm{c})$ | 0.479 | 0.139 | 0.431 |
| $\mathrm{H}(28 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.904 | 0.077 | 0.245 |
| H (28b) | 1.071 | 0.096 | 0.307 |
| H(28c) | 0.808 | 0.075 | 0.326 |

and subsequent crystallographic calculations were performed using the National Research Council (Ottawa) programs of Ahmed, Hall, Pippy, and Saunders. Atomic scattering factors were taken from ref. 16.

Crystal data. $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{7}, M=514.666$. Orthorhombic $a=6.580 \pm 0.003, \quad b=19.493 \pm 0.005, \quad c=21.763 \pm$ $0.004 \AA, U=2791.4 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.23 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=$ 1112. Space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ uniquely from systematic absences, $\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=1.54178 \AA ; \mu\left(\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}\right)=$ $7.05 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

The structure was determined by direct methods by use of the MULTAN program. ${ }^{17}$ This program had to be run a few times, during which the choice of starting reflections

Table 3
Fractional atomic co-ordinates for Nic-11 26 -ethyl ether, with standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(l) | $1.2615(6)$ | -0.0950(3) | -0.0306(2) |
| C(2) | 1.2776(6) | -0.1666(3) | -0.0733(2) |
| C(3) | 1.1484(7) | -0.2120(3) | -0.0899(2) |
| C(4) | 0.9774(6) | -0.1959(3) | -0.0646(2) |
| C(5) | $0.9808(5)$ | -0.1367(3) | -0.0066(2) |
| C(6) | 0.8040(6) | -0.1146(3) | $0.0127(2)$ |
| C(7) | 0.7581 (5) | -0.0315(3) | 0.0320 (2) |
| C(8) | $0.8830(5)$ | 0.0357(3) | $0.0333(2)$ |
| C(9) | 1.0651 (5) | $0.0031(2)$ | 0.0343 (2) |
| C(10) | $1.0865(5)$ | $-0.0600(3)$ | -0.0221(2) |
| C(11) | 1.1879 (5) | 0.0743 (3) | 0.0366(2) |
| C(12) | $1.1519(6)$ | $0.1327(3)$ | 0.0933(2) |
| C(13) | 0.9726(5) | $0.1660(3)$ | 0.0912(2) |
| C(14) | $0.8544(5)$ | 0.0941 (2) | $0.0904(2)$ |
| C(15) | 0.6800 (6) | $0.1342(3)$ | 0.0961 (2) |
| C(16) | $0.7115(6)$ | 0.2146(3) | 0.1328(2) |
| C(17) | 0.8993 (6) | 0.2157(3) | 0.1506(2) |
| C(18) | $0.9652(7)$ | $0.2203(3)$ | 0.0288(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.0348(6)$ | -0.0221(3) | -0.0884(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $0.9350(6)$ | $0.1740(3)$ | 0.2188(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 0.7823(7) | $0.1446(3)$ | 0.2575(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.0471 (7) | 0.2256(3) | 0.2633(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.1741 (7) | 0.2708(3) | 0.2234 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.0840 (7) | 0.3349 (3) | 0.1837(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.0001(8)$ | 0.3974 (3) | 0.2312(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 0.8799 (7) | 0.3499 (3) | 0.2736(2) |
| C(27) | 0.9135(9) | 0.4658(3) | $0.1946(3)$ |
| C(28) | $1.1986(9)$ | 0.3750 (4) | $0.1347(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 0.6486(10) | $0.3876(5)$ | 0.3413(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $0.5290(11)$ | 0.4408(7) | $0.3034(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.3841 (5) | -0.0669(3) | -0.0057(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.0601(4)$ | -0.1829(2) | 0.0443 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 0.7842(4) | -0.0942(2) | 0.0820 (1) |
| O (17) | $0.9448(5)$ | $0.3005(2)$ | $0.1487(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | $0.9604(5)$ | $0.2824(2)$ | $0.3056(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(25)$ | $1.1284(5)$ | $0.4339(2)$ | $0.2711(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(26)$ | $0.8256(5)$ | $0.4005(2)$ | $0.3241(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 1.388(8) | -0.196(4) | -0.089(3) |
| $\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $1.164(6)$ | -0.264(3) | $-0.113(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.936(6) | -0.245(3) | -0.046(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.906(6) | -0.164(3) | -0.108(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | $0.712(6)$ | -0.154(3) | $-0.007(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 0.644 (7) | -0.010(3) | $0.026(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 0.865 (6) | 0.068(3) | $-0.007(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 1.074(4) | -0.028(2) | 0.080(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.193(6) | 0.106(3) | -0.003(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~b})$ | $1.305(6)$ | 0.045(3) | 0.043(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{a})$ | $1.172(5)$ | 0.099(2) | 0.141 (2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.221 (6) | 0.190 (3) | 0.098(3) |
| $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 0.879 (5) | $0.061(2)$ | $0.127(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{a})$ | $0.607(8)$ | 0.099 (4) | 0.114(3) |
| $\mathrm{H}(15 \mathrm{~b})$ | $0.635(7)$ | 0.144(3) | 0.048(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(16 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.707(6) | 0.269 (3) | $0.107(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(16 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.645(7) | 0.219 (3) | 0.171 (3) |
| $\mathrm{H}(18 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.002(6) | 0.274(3) | 0.038(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(18 \mathrm{~b})$ | $0.979(6)$ | $0.200(3)$ | $-0.010(2)$ |

Table 3 (Continued)

| Atom | $x$ |  | $z$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| H(18c) | $0.828(11)$ | $0.233(5)$ | $0.024(4)$ |
| H(19a) | $1.067(6)$ | $-0.056(3)$ | $-0.130(2)$ |
| H(19b) | $1.096(7)$ | $0.042(3)$ | $-0.094(3)$ |
| H(19c) | $0.929(10)$ | $-0.009(4)$ | $-0.091(3)$ |
| H(20) | $1.010(6)$ | $0.120(3)$ | $0.215(2)$ |
| H(21a) | $0.723(7)$ | $0.194(3)$ | $0.260(3)$ |
| H(21b) | $0.819(6)$ | $0.115(3)$ | $0.296(2)$ |
| H(21c) | $0.721(6)$ | $0.107(3)$ | $0.227(3)$ |
| H(22) | $1.088(5)$ | $0.186(2)$ | $0.292(2)$ |
| H(23a) | $1.259(7)$ | $0.301(3)$ | $0.247(2)$ |
| H(23b) | $1.229(8)$ | $0.236(4)$ | $0.201(3)$ |
| H(26) | $0.775(7)$ | $0.333(3)$ | $0.249(3)$ |
| H(27a) | $0.845(7)$ | $0.443(4)$ | $0.159(3)$ |
| H(27b) | $0.891(10)$ | $0.505(4)$ | $0.233(3)$ |
| H(27c) | $1.011(7)$ | $0.501(3)$ | $0.173(3)$ |
| H(28a) | $1.246(10)$ | $0.334(5)$ | $0.103(4)$ |
| H(28b) | $1.114(7)$ | $0.403(3)$ | $0.094(3)$ |
| H(28c) | $1.255(8)$ | $0.417(4)$ | $0.160(3)$ |
| H(29a) | $0.623(9)$ | $0.325(4)$ | $0.309(3)$ |
| H(29b) | $0.634(14)$ | $0.418(6)$ | $0.405(5)$ |
| H(30a) | $0.465(6)$ | $0.400(3)$ | $0.332(3)$ |
| H(30b) | $0.542(18)$ | $0.430(8)$ | $0.242(8)$ |
| H(30c) | $0.536(11)$ | $0.498(5)$ | $0.316(4)$ |
| H(05) | $1.024(6)$ | $-0.161(3)$ | $0.083(2)$ |
| H(025) | $1.143(16)$ | $0.481(7)$ | $0.303(5)$ |

was altered manually, before it yielded a set of phases with a figure-of-merit 1.1187 . A subsequent $E$ map based on these phases showed 37 large peaks, 34 of which corresponded to chemically reasonable atomic positions.

A structure-factor calculation following one cycle of block-diagonal least-squares refinement gave $R \quad 0.26$. A subsequent difference-Fourier synthesis revealed the positions of the remaining 3 atoms. Two further cycles of least-squares refinement of atomic positions and isotropic temperature factors, using all data and unit weights, reduced $R$ to 0.16 . Analysis of the agreement of $F_{0}$ and $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ suggested the adoption of a weighting scheme of the form $w=1$ for $\left|F_{0}\right|<45.0$ and $w=\left(45.0| | F_{0} \mid\right)^{2}$ for $\left|F_{0}\right|>45.0$. Three further cycles of refinement in which the atomic temperature factors were allowed to vary anisotropically reduced $R$ to 0.106 . A difference-Fourier synthesis was next calculated which revealed the approximate positions of all 42 hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms were then included in the structure-factor calculations but their positions were not refined. Two further cycles of refinement lowered $R$ to 0.073 when the largest parameter shifts were $<1.0 \sigma$, indicating that refinement had converged after a total of 8 cycles. A final difference map showed no fluctuations $>0.4 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$. Final atomic coordinates are listed in Table 2; temperature factors and observed and calculated structure factors for (2) and (7) are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 21580 (38 pp., 1 microfiche).*

Crystallographic Analysis of Nic-11 26-Ethyl Ether (7).— For intensity measurement a crystal ca. $0.4 \times 0.55 \times 0.65$ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ was mounted about the $a$ axis on a Hilger and Watts four-circle diffractometer. The experimental procedures described for Nic-3 acetate were adopted. Intensity data were collected as before for $2 \theta \leqslant 156^{\circ}$ and 2140 independent observed reflections, having $I>3 \sigma(I)$ were used

* See Notice to Authors No. 7, in J.C.S. Perkin I, 1974, Index issue.
${ }^{16}$ ' International Tables for $X$-Ray Crystallography,' vol. III, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1962.
${ }_{17}$ G. Germain, P. Main, and M. M. Woolfson, Acta Cryst., 1971, A2', 360.
in the structure refinement. No absorption corrections were made. Data reduction and subsequent crystallographic calculations were performed using the ' $X$-ray 70 ' system of programs. Normalised structure factors were calculated using the SAP programs of National Research Council (Ottawa) with a manually plotted $k(s)$ curve. Atomic scattering factors were taken from ref. 16.

Crystal data. $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{7}, M=516.682$. Orthorhombic, $a=8.043 \pm 0.003, \quad b=16.339 \pm 0.007, \quad c=20.435 \pm$ $0.005 \AA, U=2685.5 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=$ 1120. Space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ uniquely from systematic absences. $\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\mu\left(\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}\right)=7.32 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

The structure was again determined by the MULTAN program, ${ }^{17}$ which was used as before until a set of phases with a figure-of-merit 0.9677 was obtained. A subsequent $E$ map based on these phases revealed the positions of 32 chemically reasonable atomic positions within the top 38 peaks on the map.

A structure-factor calculation following two cycles of least-squares refinement gave $R \quad 0.30$ and a subsequent Fourier synthesis revealed five additional atom positions and that two of the originally chosen atom positions were incorrect. Four cycles of block-diagonal least-squares refinement of these 35 atomic positions and isotropic temperature factors were carried out with all the data and unit weights. After the fourth cycle $R$ was 0.176 , and in
subsequent refinement the atomic temperature factors were allowed to vary anisotropically. Two further cycles reduced $R$ to 0.143 . A weighting scheme of the form $w=1$ for $\left|F_{0}\right| \leqslant 12.0$ and $w=\left(12.0 /\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|\right)^{2}$ for $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|>12.0$ was then adopted. Two more rounds then reduced $R$ to 0.129 . A difference-Fourier synthesis was then calculated which revealed the positions of the two carbon atoms of the $O$-ethyl derivative which had been formed during crystallisation from ethanol. With these extra atoms included, four further cycles of least-squares refinement lowered $R$ to 0.095 . A second difference-Fourier synthesis was then calculated which revealed the approximate positions of all 44 hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms were then included in the subsequent refinement with isotropic temperature factors and four further cycles reduced $R$ to 0.064 . Finally, four more cycles of full-matrix leastsquares refinement lowered $R$ to 0.059 after a total of 22 cycles, the largest parameter shifts being then of the order $0.3 \sigma$, indicating that the refinement had converged. A final difference map showed no peaks or depressions $>0.2 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$. Final atomic co-ordinates are listed in Table 3.
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